arXiv:1509.08317v1 [math.GR] 28 Sep 2015

ON OPERATOR NORMS FOR HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

BOGDAN NICA

To the memory of Uffe Haagerup

Abstract. We estimate the operator norm of radial non-negative functions on hyperbolic groups. As a consequence, we show that several forms of Haagerup's inequality are optimal.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a non-elementary hyperbolic group. As with any group, it is a difficult problem to compute, or even estimate the operator norm $\|\lambda(a)\|$, where λ is the left-regular representation on $\ell^2\Gamma$ and a is an element in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. Haagerup's inequality gives an upper bound for the operator norm, and it is stated and used in either one of the following forms:

- ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$) $\|\lambda(a)\| \preccurlyeq (n+1)\|a\|_2$ whenever $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is supported on the sphere of radius n;
- ($\mathrm{H}_\bullet$) $\|\lambda(a)\| \preccurlyeq (n+1)^{3/2}\|a\|_2$ whenever $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is supported on the ball of radius n;
- (H_s) $\|\lambda(a)\| \preccurlyeq \|a\|_{2,s}$ for $s > 3/2$, where $\|a\|_{2,s} = \big(\sum(|\gamma|+1)^{2s}|a(\gamma)|^2\big)^{1/2}$ is the s-weighted ℓ^2-norm.

The upper-bounding quantity requires the choice of a word-length $|\cdot|$, given by some finite and symmetric generating set for Γ. The notation $\preccurlyeq$ means inequality up to positive multiplicative constants that only depend on Γ and the choice of generating set, and $\asymp$ is the corresponding equivalence.

Haagerup's original result [8] concerned free groups, equipped with the standard word-length. The extension to hyperbolic groups is due to Jolissaint [10] and de la Harpe [9]. Note that the spherical inequality ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$) implies the ball inequality ($\mathrm{H}_\bullet$) and the weighted inequality (H_s), by obvious applications of the Cauchy - Schwartz inequality. The weighted inequality (H_s) is intimately related to certain Sobolev-type phenomena for the reduced group C*-algebra of Γ. The Haagerup inequality for hyperbolic groups is an instance of what we now call the *property of Rapid Decay*. See [15] for a very recent overview.

The starting point of this note is the following natural question: are the above Haagerup inequalities essentially sharp? For the spherical inequality ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$), this is known to be the case for free groups. Cohen [3] has computed the operator norm of the spherical element

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$$

when Γ is free and endowed with the standard word-length. Cohen's computation immediately implies that $\|\lambda(\sigma_n)\| \asymp (n+1)\|\sigma_n\|_2$. This suggests that ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$) ought to be essentially sharp for any hyperbolic Γ, and it is fairly easy to devise a combinatorial argument - quasifying that of Cohen - showing that this is indeed the case. On the other hand, whether ($\mathrm{H}_\bullet$) and (H_s) are essentially sharp is a less obvious matter. Relaxing the support condition on a makes the

Date: September 29, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F67 (primary), 22D10, 22D20 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic groups, Haagerup inequality, boundary representations.

operator norm of a harder to estimate. But the fact that ($\mathrm{H}_\bullet$) and (H_s) are reasonable by-products of ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$), which we just claimed to be essentially sharp, gives a hint of what the answer might be.

The main result of this note is an estimate for the operator norm of radial elements in $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ with non-negative coefficients.

Theorem. *Let $a = \sum a_k \sigma_k \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, where $a_k \geq 0$. Then $\|\lambda(a)\| \asymp \sum (k+1)\|a_k \sigma_k\|_2$.*

Corollary. *The following hold.*

i) For the spherical element σ_n, we have $\|\lambda(\sigma_n)\| \asymp (n+1)\|\sigma_n\|_2$. In particular, ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$) is essentially sharp.

ii) For the ball element $\beta_n = \sum_{k \leq n} \sigma_k$, we have $\|\lambda(\beta_n)\| \asymp (n+1)\|\beta_n\|_2$.

iii) For the radial element $a = \sum_{k \leq n} a_k \sigma_k$, where $a_k \geq 0$ is such that $\|a_k \sigma_k\|_2 = k+1$, we have $\|\lambda(a)\| \asymp (n+1)^{3/2}\|a\|_2$. In particular, ($\mathrm{H}_\bullet$) is essentially sharp.

iv) For the radial element $a = \sum_{k \leq n} a_k \sigma_k$, where $a_k \geq 0$ is such that $\|a_k \sigma_k\|_2 = (k+1)^{-2}$, we have $\|\lambda(a)\| \asymp \log(n+1)\, \|a\|_{2,3/2}$. In particular, ($\mathrm{H}_\mathrm{s}$) is essentially sharp.

The above statements directly follow from the main theorem, except for part ii) which needs in addition Lemma 3 below.

Parts iii) and iv) are more than sufficient to settle a problem raised in [14, Section 4]. The degree of rapid decay is 3/2, not just for free groups as originally asked, but for hyperbolic groups in general.

2. Proof of the main theorem

That $\|\lambda(a)\| \preccurlyeq \sum (k+1)\|a_k \sigma_k\|_2$ follows from the spherical Haagerup inequality ($\mathrm{H}_\circ$). We need to show that $\|\lambda(a)\| \succcurlyeq \sum (k+1)\|a_k \sigma_k\|_2$. A combinatorial argument, within Γ, might be possible, but it appears to be difficult. We use instead an analytic approach, involving the boundary of Γ.

Consider the Cayley graph of Γ with respect to the given generating set, and let $\partial\Gamma$ denote its boundary. The Gromov product on Γ, given by the formula $(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(|x| + |y| - |x^{-1}y|)$, is extended in a somewhat ad-hoc way to the boundary by setting

$$(x, \xi) = \inf_{x_i \to \xi} \liminf (x, x_i), \qquad (\xi, \xi') = \inf_{x_i \to \xi, x_i' \to \xi'} \liminf (x_i, x_i').$$

With this convention, the hyperbolic inequality $(x,y) \geq \min\{(x,z),(y,z)\} - \delta$ extends from Γ to $\Gamma \cup \partial\Gamma$.

We now recall some facts about the metric - measure structure of $\partial\Gamma$. For small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a *visual metric* d_ε on the boundary $\partial\Gamma$, satisfying $d_\varepsilon(\xi, \xi') \asymp \exp(-\varepsilon\, (\xi, \xi'))$. Different choices of the visual parameter ε yield comparable Hausdorff measures. Let μ be a probability measure in the comparability class of visual Hausdorff measures. By [4], μ is Ahlfors-regular: the measure of any r-ball with respect to the visual metric d_ε satisfies $\mu(r\text{-ball}) \asymp r^{e(\Gamma)/\varepsilon}$ for $0 \leq r \leq \operatorname{diam} \partial\Gamma$. Here, the *critical exponent* $e(\Gamma)$ with respect to the chosen word-length is the finite, positive number given by $e(\Gamma) = \inf\{s > 0 : \sum_\gamma \exp(-s|\gamma|) < \infty\}$. For more details, see [4] as well as the discussions in [12, Sec.15], [6, Sec.5].

The boundary representation of Γ with respect to μ is the unitary representation on $L^2(\partial\Gamma, \mu)$ defined as follows:

$$\pi(\gamma) f = \big(d(\gamma_* \mu)/d\mu\big)^{1/2}\, \gamma.f$$

Lemma 1. *The boundary representation π is weakly contained in the regular representation λ.*

Proof. This follows [2, 13] from the fact that the action of Γ on $\partial\Gamma$ is amenable [1], [7, 11]. □

Consequently,

$$\|\lambda(a)\| \geq \|\pi(a)\| \geq \langle \pi(a)\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\rangle.$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function equal to 1 on $\partial\Gamma$.

The next step concerns the asymptotics of the spherical function $\gamma \mapsto \langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\rangle$. In what follows, we put $q := \exp(e(\Gamma))$.

Lemma 2. $\langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\rangle \asymp (|\gamma| + 1)\, q^{-|\gamma|/2}$.

Proof. By [4], μ is quasi-conformal: $d(\gamma_*\mu)/d\mu\,(\xi) \asymp \exp(e(\Gamma)\,(2(\gamma,\xi) - |\gamma|))$ for μ-almost all $\xi \in \partial\Gamma$. The ad-hoc definition of the extended Gromov product offers no guarantee that the map $\xi \mapsto (\gamma, \xi)$ is measurable. But the same procedure which yields the visual metric d_ε on $\partial\Gamma$ also yields a companion metric-like map $d_\varepsilon : \Gamma \times \partial\Gamma \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying $d_\varepsilon(\gamma, \xi) \asymp \exp(-\varepsilon(\gamma, \xi))$, as well as $|d_\varepsilon(\gamma, \xi) - d_\varepsilon(\gamma, \xi')| \leq d_\varepsilon(\xi, \xi')$. Thus, up to replacing it by a comparable continuous map, we may indeed assume that $\xi \mapsto q^{(\gamma,\xi)}$ is measurable.

Now we have

$$\langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\rangle = \int_{\partial\Gamma} \big(d(\gamma_*\mu)/d\mu\big)^{1/2} \asymp q^{-|\gamma|/2} \int_{\partial\Gamma} q^{(\gamma,\xi)} d\xi.$$

To estimate the last integral, we apply the following general formula: if (X, ν) is a probability space and f is a non-negative measurable map, then

$$\int_X \exp(f) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \nu\{x \in X : f(x) \geq t\} \exp(t)\, dt.$$

In our case, f is the map $\xi \mapsto (\log q)\,(\gamma, \xi)$. After a change of variable $t \mapsto (\log q)\, t$, we get

$$\int_{\partial\Gamma} q^{(\gamma,\xi)}\, d\xi = 1 + (\log q) \int_0^\infty \mu(S(t))\, q^t\, dt$$

where $S(t) = \{\xi \in \partial\Gamma : (\gamma, \xi) \geq t\}$. We claim that the following hold:

- $S(t)$ is empty for $t > |\gamma|$;
- $\mu(S(t)) \preccurlyeq q^{-t}$ for all $t \geq 0$;
- there is a constant $C > 0$, depending only on Γ and the choice of generating set, such that $\mu(S(t)) \succcurlyeq q^{-t}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq |\gamma| - C$.

These facts immediately yield that

$$\int_{\partial\Gamma} q^{(\gamma,\xi)} d\xi \asymp |\gamma| + 1.$$

The first point is simply a rewriting of the fact that $(\gamma, \xi) \leq |\gamma|$ for all $\xi \in \partial\Gamma$. For the second point, we note that $\operatorname{diam} S(t) \preccurlyeq \exp(-\varepsilon t)$, since $(\xi, \xi') \geq \min\{(\gamma, \xi), (\gamma, \xi')\} - \delta \geq t - \delta$ whenever $\xi, \xi' \in S(t)$. By Ahlfors-regularity, $\mu(S(t)) \preccurlyeq \exp(-\varepsilon t)^{e(\Gamma)/\varepsilon} = q^{-t}$. Finally, let us settle the third point. There is a constant $C' > 0$ such that each element of Γ has distance at most C' to some geodesic ray based at the identity. Up to additive constants which can be absorbed into C', the distance from γ to a geodesic ray can be written as $|\gamma| - (\gamma, \omega)$, where $\omega \in \partial\Gamma$ is the boundary point corresponding to the ray. Thus $(\gamma, \omega) \geq |\gamma| - C'$. Now let $t \leq |\gamma| - C' - \delta$. If $(\xi, \omega) \geq t + \delta$ then $(\gamma, \xi) \geq \min\{(\gamma, \omega), (\xi, \omega)\} - \delta \geq \min\{|\gamma| - C', t + \delta\} - \delta = t$. In other words, $S(t)$ contains a ball of radius $\asymp \exp(-\varepsilon t)$ around ω. Ahlfors-regularity, once again, yields $\mu(S(t)) \succcurlyeq \exp(-\varepsilon t)^{e(\Gamma)/\varepsilon} = q^{-t}$. □

The last ingredient is the asymptotical behaviour of spheres in Γ.

Lemma 3. $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| = k\} \asymp q^k$.

Proof. This is, essentially, a result from [4]. □

We now complete the proof of the theorem. In light of the last two lemmas, we have:

$$\langle \pi(\sigma_k)\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}\rangle = \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}\rangle \asymp \sum_{|\gamma|=k} (k+1)\, q^{-k/2}$$
$$\asymp (k+1)\, q^{k/2} \asymp (k+1)\, \|\sigma_k\|_2$$

Therefore

$$\|\lambda(a)\| \geq \langle \pi(a)\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}\rangle = \sum a_k\, \langle \pi(\sigma_k)\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}\rangle \asymp \sum (k+1)\, a_k \|\sigma_k\|_2$$

as desired.

Remark. Instead of using the boundary amenability, which yields Lemma 1, we can also argue as follows. By Lemma 2, the spherical function $\gamma \mapsto \langle \pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}\rangle$ is p-summable for every $p > 2$. Let π' be the restriction of π to the closed subspace spanned by $\{\pi(\gamma)\mathbf{1} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Now [5, Thm.1] says that π' is weakly contained in λ, and the rest of the argument goes through with π' instead of π.

Acknowledgments. I thank Laurent Bartholdi for discussions, and for his interest in this paper.

References

[1] S. Adams: *Boundary amenability for word hyperbolic groups and an application to smooth dynamics of simple groups*, Topology 33 (1994), no. 4, 765–783
[2] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche: *On spectral characterizations of amenability*, Israel J. Math. 137 (2003), 1–33
[3] J.M. Cohen: *Operator norms on free groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (6) 1 (1982), no. 3, 1055–1065
[4] M. Coornaert: *Mesures de Patterson-Sullivan sur le bord d'un espace hyperbolique au sens de Gromov*, Pacific J. Math. 159 (1993), no. 2, 241–270
[5] M. Cowling, U. Haagerup, R. Howe: *Almost L^2 matrix coefficients*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 387 (1988), 97–110
[6] H. Emerson, B. Nica: *K-homological finiteness and hyperbolic groups*, arXiv:1312.4646
[7] E. Germain: *Approximate invariant means for boundary actions of hyperbolic groups*, Appendix B to *Amenable groupoids* by C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, and J. Renault, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique no. 36, L'Enseignement Mathématique 2000
[8] U. Haagerup: *An example of a nonnuclear C^*-algebra, which has the metric approximation property*, Invent. Math. 50 (1978/79), no. 3, 279–293
[9] P. de la Harpe: *Groupes hyperboliques, algèbres d'opérateurs et un théorème de Jolissaint*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 307 (1988), no. 14, 771–774
[10] P. Jolissaint: *Rapidly decreasing functions in reduced C^*-algebras of groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 317 (1990), no. 1, 167–196
[11] V.A. Kaimanovich: *Boundary amenability of hyperbolic spaces*, in *Discrete geometric analysis*, 83–111, Contemp. Math. 347, Amer. Math. Soc. 2004
[12] I. Kapovich, N. Benakli: *Boundaries of hyperbolic groups*, in *Combinatorial and geometric group theory (New York, 2000/Hoboken, NJ, 2001)*, 39–93, Contemp. Math. 296, Amer. Math. Soc. 2002
[13] A. Nevo: *The spectral theory of amenable actions and invariants of discrete groups*, Geom. Dedicata 100 (2003), 187–218
[14] B. Nica: *On the degree of rapid decay*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 7, 2341–2347
[15] M. Sapir: *The rapid decay property and centroids in groups*, J. Topol. Anal. 7 (2015), no. 3, 513–541

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August Universität Göttingen
E-mail address: bogdan.nica@gmail.com